

Title: Resurgence, Decolonization and Recognition: Action-research with Minwashin

Authors:

Dominic Lapointe
Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada

Kevin Papatie
Minwashin, Canada

Cassiopée Benjamin
Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada

Abstract:

Following the Truth and Reconciliation Commission, a consensus is emerging that relations between Canada's aboriginal peoples and the mainstream society need to be rethought. If a political process is under way and put into practice, at the community level, the question of the modalities of the implementation of this intercultural dialogue in a perspective of a resurgence and decolonization remains to be thought out (Coulthard, 2014). Reindigenization would go through the resurgence of the territory as a space of meaning (Simpson, 2014) following decades of territorial reduction (Simard, 2003) and political compartmentalization (Alfred et Corntassel, 2005). These clearly situate the individual and collective experience of indigenous peoples as a source for establishing the *modus operandi* of the cultural resurgence where the solution would be found in the aboriginal agency and in the redefinition of indigenous knowledge of the relationship to culture and territory (Weber, 2014).

This communication will present the framework and methodologies of the partnership with Minwashin, an Anishnabe organization, and a social innovation, in the Abitibi-Temiscamingue. Minwashin proves to be an initiative that seeks a recodification of the links between cultures and territory in a process of resurgence of culture where territory is an essential element of living together and meaning (Betasamosake Simpson, 2014, Waziyatawin, 2012). Considered as social innovation Minwashin suggests to approach the latter in a relational perspective where the indigenous cultural ideal is expressed through history, ceremonies, language and the territory (Holm et al., 2003).

- Alfred T., Corntassel J. (2005), Being indigenous: Resurgences against contemporary colonialism, *Government and Opposition*, 40(4), 596-614.
- Betasamosake Simpson, L. (2014) Land as pedagogy: Nishnaabeg intelligence and rebellious transformation. In *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society*, 3(3), 1-25.
- Commission de vérité, & réconciliation du Canada. (2015). Honorer la vérité, réconcilier pour l'avenir: sommaire du rapport final de la Commission de vérité et réconciliation du Canada. McGill-Queen's Press-MQUP.
- Coulthard, G. S. (2014). *Red skin, white masks: Rejecting the colonial politics of recognition*. University of Minnesota Press.

Holm, T., Pearson, J. D., & Chavis, B. (2003). Peoplehood: A model for the extension of sovereignty in American Indian studies. *Wicazo Sa Review*, 18(1), 7-24.

Simard, J. J. (2003). *La réduction: l'autochtone inventé et les Amérindiens d'aujourd'hui*. Les éditions du Septentrion.

Waziyatawin. (2012) The paradox of Indigenous resurgence at the end of empire.

Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society, 1(1), 68-85.

Weber, J. (2015). Three dimensions of indigenous economic development. In Macdonald, R.A. et V. Fortin *Autonomie économique autochtone: dimensions multiples*. Montréal: Éditions Thémis.
